

Do I Have Standing to Sue My LLC?

December 20, 2019

Fair Debt Collection Practices Act Statute of Limitations

Rotkiske v. Klemm, 6th Circuit Court of Appeals, Slip Op. No. 18-328 (Dec. 10, 2019).

In this appeal, the UnitedStates Supreme Court held that, absent an equitable doctrine, the statute of limitations on a Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA) claim begins to runwhen the alleged violation occurred, not when it was discovered.

The BulletPoint: 16 USC 1692k(d) requires an FDCPA claim to be brought "within oneyear from the date on which the violation occurs." A split developed amongcircuit courts as to when the clock started running for statute of limitation purposes under the FDCPA. The Supreme Court ultimately decided the split, finding that the statute was clear and unambiguous: a claim must be broughtwithin one year of the occurrence of the violation. In so ruling, the Courtspecifically rejected the "discovery rule" and its application to FDCPA claims, finding that permitting such an exclusion would run contrary to the expresslanguage of the statute. The court declined to consider whether there wereother equitable grounds to toll this rule, as they were not properly asserted by the appellant.

Establishing Default in Foreclosure

Deutsche Bank Nat'l Trust Co. v. Omar, 9th Dist. Summit No. 29300, 2019-Ohio-5224.

In this appeal, the NinthAppellate District affirmed the trial court's decision finding for the lenderon a claim for foreclosure, and specifically finding that it provided sufficient evidence of a borrower's default.

The BulletPoint: In order to be entitled to foreclose, a foreclosing party mustestablish a default. This does not require providing proof of every singlepayment on the mortgage loan however. Rather, as the Ninth Appellate Districtnoted (and other courts have as well), evidence showing when payments stop, like a partial payment history, IRS forms, debt validation letter, andtestimony from an appropriate representative of the lender can establish adefault for foreclosure purposes.

Merchant Exception to the Statute of Frauds

Hornacek v. Madenfort, 5th Dist. Stark No. 2019CA00058, 2019-Ohio-5180.

In this appeal, the FifthAppellate District affirmed the trial court's decision, finding an oral agreementenforceable and not barred by the statute of frauds because the "merchant" exception applied.

The BulletPoint: Ohio's statute of frauds requires certain contracts to be inwriting. There are certain exceptions to this, one of which is known as the "merchant exception." This exception states: a contract for a sale of goods for five-hundred dollars or more can be enforceable "between merchants if within areasonable time a writing in confirmation of the contract and sufficient against the sender is received and the party receiving it has reason to know its contents, it satisfies the requirements of division (A) of this section against such party unless written notice of objection to its contents is given within ten days after it is received."

Standing to Sue an Limited Liability Company

North Hill Holdings, LLC v. Concheck, 8th Dist. Cuyahoga No. 108168, 2019-Ohio-5119.

In this appeal, the Eighth Appellate District agreed with the trial court, finding that the plaintiff lacked standing to sue a limited liability company (LLC) because it was not considered a member of the corporation and could not sue over a failure to distribute fees.

The Bullet Point: Under Ohio law, limited liability companies are governed by R.C. Chapter 1705. Unless the limited liability company has an operating agreement that provides otherwise, R.C. Chapter 1705 sets forth who is a member in a limited liability company and the rights and responsibilities of the members. Under that statute, and when there is no operating agreement, a "member" is one who became a member at the time the company was formed; or, after formation, upon acquiring an interest directly from the LLC under an operating agreement; or, if no agreement exists, upon consent of all members; or, was assigned an interest by a member with the power to assign such interest. If a party is not in fact a member of an LLC, he or she lacks standing to challenge the LLC's actions.

Download PDF with full text of each decision

Related people
Jim Sandy